

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/845,057 | POLIZZI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kenneth Tang | 2195 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Appeal

 (1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Wells.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007
Time: 1pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:


1, 18, 23, 26, 36


Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner stated that claims 1, 18, 23, and 26 were allowable and Applicant agreed to cancel claims 36-43 to move the case to issue.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MENG-AL T. AN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)